

Randomized Approximation of Functions with Applications to Elliptic PDEs

Stefan Heinrich

Department of Computer Science

University of Kaiserslautern

D-67653 Kaiserslautern, Germany

e-mail: heinrich@informatik.uni-kl.de

homepage:

<http://www.uni-kl.de/AG-Heinrich>

1. Notation

$1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $d \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,
 $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ a bounded Lipschitz domain

Sobolev space

$$W_p^r(Q) = \{f \in L_p(Q) : D^\alpha f \in L_p(Q), |\alpha| \leq r\}$$

$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, $|\alpha| := \sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j \leq r$,
 $D^\alpha f$ generalized partial derivative

norm

$$\|f\|_{W_p^r(Q)} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq r} \|D^\alpha f\|_{L_p(Q)}^p \right)^{1/p}$$

if $p < \infty$, and

$$\|f\|_{W_\infty^r(Q)} = \max_{|\alpha| \leq r} \|D^\alpha f\|_{L_\infty(Q)}.$$

Note:

$$r = 0 \quad W_p^0(Q) = L_p(Q)$$

Approximation problem

$$1 \leq p, q \leq \infty, r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

$$\frac{r-s}{d} > \max\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}, 0\right)$$

Approximate

$$J : W_p^r(Q) \rightarrow W_q^s(Q)$$

deterministic algorithms $\mathcal{A}_n^{\text{det}}$

$$A : W_p^r(Q) \rightarrow W_q^s(Q),$$

$$A(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)\psi_i \quad x_i \in Q, \psi_i \in W_q^s(Q)$$

error:

$$\begin{aligned} & e(J, A, \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}, W_q^s(Q)) \\ &= \sup_{f \in \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}} \|Jf - A(f)\|_{W_q^s(Q)} \end{aligned}$$

deterministic n -th minimal error:

(linear sampling numbers)

$$\begin{aligned} & e_n^{\text{det}}(J, \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}, W_q^s(Q)) \\ &= \inf_{A \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\text{det}}} e(J, A, \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}, W_q^s(Q)) \end{aligned}$$

randomized algorithms $\mathcal{A}_n^{\text{ran}}$:

$(\Omega, \Sigma, \mathbb{P})$ probability space,

$$(A_\omega)_{\omega \in \Omega}, \quad A_\omega : W_p^r(Q) \rightarrow W_q^s(Q)$$

$$A_\omega(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_{i,\omega}) \psi_{i,\omega}$$

$$x_{i,\omega} \in Q, \quad \psi_{i,\omega} \in W_q^s(Q) \quad (\omega \in \Omega),$$

error:

$$\begin{aligned} & e(J, (A_\omega), \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}, W_q^s(Q)) \\ &= \sup_{f \in \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}} \mathbb{E} \|Jf - A_\omega(f)\|_{W_q^s(Q)} \end{aligned}$$

randomized n -th minimal error:

(randomized linear sampling numbers)

$$\begin{aligned} & e_n^{\text{ran}}(J, \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}, W_q^s(Q)) \\ &= \inf_{(A)_\omega \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\text{ran}}} e(J, (A)_\omega, \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}, W_q^s(Q)) \end{aligned}$$

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(J, \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}, W_q^s(Q)) \leq e_n^{\text{det}}(J, \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}, W_q^s(Q))$$

$$e_n^{\text{det}} : \quad \Omega = \{\omega_0\}$$

embedding condition

$W_p^r(Q)$ is embedded into $C(Q)$ iff

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} p = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad r/d \geq 1 \\ \text{or} \\ 1 < p \leq \infty \quad \text{and} \quad r/d > 1/p \end{array} \right\} (1)$$

2. Embedding $J : W_p^r(Q) \rightarrow W_q^s(Q)$ with $s \geq 0$

Theorem 1. (many authors)

Let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, with

$$\frac{r-s}{d} > \max\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}, 0\right),$$

let Q be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Then in the deterministic setting, if the embedding condition (1) holds,

$$e_n^{\det}(J, \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}, W_p^s(Q)) \asymp n^{-\frac{r-s}{d} + \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)_+},$$

if the embedding condition does not hold, then

$$e_n^{\det}(J, \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)} \cap C(Q), W_p^s(Q)) \asymp 1.$$

In the randomized setting

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(J, \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}, W_p^s(Q)) \asymp n^{-\frac{r-s}{d} + \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)_+}.$$

independently of the embedding condition.

deterministic, Q bounded Lipschitz domain, $s > 0$: H. 2008

solved Problem 18 of Novak, Woźniakowski (Tractability of Multivariate Problems, Volume 1)

3. Embedding into spaces with negative smoothness

$J : W_p^r(Q) \rightarrow W_q^{-s}(Q)$. We define

$$W_q^{-s}(Q) := \widetilde{W}_{q^*}^s(Q)^* \quad \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q^*} = 1 \right)$$

where $\widetilde{W}_{q^*}(Q)$ is the closure of C^∞ functions with support in Q , in the norm of $W_{q^*}(Q)$, and $\widetilde{W}_{q^*}^s(Q)^*$ is its dual space.

Motivation 1: weak elliptic problem

$m \in \mathbb{N}$, bilinear form a on $W_2^m(Q)$,

$$a(u, v) = \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq m} \int_Q a_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\alpha u(x) D^\beta v(x) dx,$$

$a_{\alpha\beta} \in C(Q)$

assume a is $\widetilde{W}_2^m(Q)$ -elliptic

$$|a(u, v)| \leq c_1 \|u\|_{W_2^m(Q)} \|v\|_{W_2^m(Q)}$$

$$a(u, u) \geq c_2 \|u\|_{W_2^m(Q)}^2$$

$(u, v \in \widetilde{W}_2^m(Q))$.

associated differential operator:

$$\mathcal{L}u = \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq m} D^\beta (a_{\alpha\beta} D^\alpha u)$$

associated differential equation (under additional smoothness): given f , find u with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}u &= f \quad \text{on } Q \\ D_n^\gamma u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial Q \quad (0 \leq \gamma \leq m-1) \end{aligned}$$

weak elliptic problem associated with a :

Given $f \in W_2^{-m}(Q)$, find $u \in \widetilde{W}_2^m(Q)$ such that for all $v \in \widetilde{W}_2^m(Q)$

$$a(u, v) = f(v).$$

The problem has a unique solution $u = S_0 f \in \widetilde{W}_2^m(Q)$,

$$S_0 : W_2^{-m}(Q) \rightarrow \widetilde{W}_2^m(Q)$$

is an isomorphism

$r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, solve the weak problem for $f \in W_2^r(Q)$:
 solution operator

$$S^{\text{ell}} = S_0 J : W_2^r(Q) \xrightarrow{J} W_2^{-m}(Q) \xrightarrow{S_0} \widetilde{W}_2^m(Q)$$

Corollary 1.

$$\begin{aligned} & e_n^{\text{ran}}(S^{\text{ell}}, \mathcal{B}_{W_2^r(Q)}, \widetilde{W}_2^m(Q)) \\ & \asymp e_n^{\text{ran}}(J, \mathcal{B}_{W_2^r(Q)}, W_2^{-m}(Q)), \end{aligned}$$

and analogously for e_n^{det} .

Motivation 2:

Simultaneous quadrature over classes of weights

deterministic case:

$$e(J, A, \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}, W_q^{-s}(Q))$$

$$= \sup_{f \in \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}} \|Jf - A(f)\|_{W_q^{-s}(Q)}$$

$$= \sup_{f \in \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}} \left\| Jf - \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \psi_i \right\|_{W_q^{-s}(Q)}$$

$$= \sup_{f \in \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}}$$

$$\sup_{g \in \mathcal{B}_{\tilde{W}_{q^*}^s(Q)}} \left| \int_Q f(x) g(x) dx - \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) (\psi_i, g) \right|$$

$$\int_Q f(x) g(x) dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^n (\psi_i, g) f(x_i)$$

quadrature, the quadrature weights depending on the integration weight g only through n linear functionals (information functionals)

randomized case:

$$\begin{aligned}
& e(J, (A_\omega), \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}, W_q^s(Q)) \\
&= \sup_{f \in \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}} \mathbb{E} \|Jf - A_\omega(f)\|_{W_q^{-s}(Q)} \\
&= \sup_{f \in \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}} \mathbb{E} \left\| Jf - \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_{i,\omega}) \psi_{i,\omega} \right\|_{W_q^{-s}(Q)} \\
&= \sup_{f \in \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}} \\
&\mathbb{E} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{B}_{\tilde{W}_{q^*}^s(Q)}} \left| \int_Q f(x)g(x)dx - \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_{i,\omega})(\psi_{i,\omega}, g) \right|
\end{aligned}$$

error criterion: uniformly over the class of weights

Deterministic case:

Theorem 2. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$,

$$\frac{r + s}{d} > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}.$$

1. (Novak, Triebel, 2006, Vybiral, 2007) Assume that $W_p^r(Q)$ is embedded into $C(Q)$. Then

$$e_n^{\det}(J, \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}, W_q^{-s}(Q)) \asymp n^{-\gamma_1}$$

where

$$\gamma_1 = \min \left(\frac{r + s}{d} - \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right)_+, \frac{r}{d} \right).$$

2. (H., 2008) If $W_p^r(Q)$ is not embedded into $C(Q)$, then

$$e_n^{\det}(J : \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}, W_q^{-s}(Q)) \asymp 1.$$

Randomized case:

Theorem 3. (H., 2008) Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and

$$\frac{r + s}{d} > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}.$$

Then

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(J, \mathcal{B}_{W_p^r(Q)}, W_q^{-s}(Q)) \asymp_{\log} n^{-\gamma_2}$$

where

$$\gamma_2 = \min \left(\frac{r + s}{d} - \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right)_+, \frac{r}{d} + 1 - \frac{1}{\bar{p}} \right)$$

and $\bar{p} = \min(p, 2)$.

solves Problem 25 of Novak, Woźniakowski (Tractability of Multivariate Problems, Volume 1)

For elliptic PDEs this yields the deterministic and randomized n -th minimal error:

Corollary 2. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$e_n^{\text{det}}(S^{\text{ell}}, \mathcal{B}_{W_2^r(Q)}, \widetilde{W}_2^m(Q)) \asymp n^{-\frac{r}{d}}$$

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(S^{\text{ell}}, \mathcal{B}_{W_2^r(Q)}, \widetilde{W}_2^m(Q)) \asymp n^{-\frac{r}{d} - \min\left(\frac{m}{d}, \frac{1}{2}\right)}.$$

Thus, randomization gives a speedup of $\min\left(\frac{m}{d}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ in the exponent.

4. The algorithm

We assume for simplicity $1 < p = q < \infty$,
 $Q = [0, 1]^d$,

$$J = J_2 J_1 : W_p^r(Q) \xrightarrow{J_1} L_p(Q) \xrightarrow{J_2} W_p^{-s}(Q)$$

duality

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 & : L_p(Q) \rightarrow W_p^{-s}(Q) = \widetilde{W}_{p^*}^s(Q)^* \\ J_0 & : \widetilde{W}_{p^*}^s(Q) \rightarrow L_{p^*}(Q) \quad J_2 = J_0^* \end{aligned}$$

$$\|J_0 - P_k : \widetilde{W}_{p^*}^s(Q) \rightarrow L_{p^*}(Q)\| \leq c 2^{-sk}$$

$$P_k h = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (h, \tilde{h}_{ki}) \tilde{g}_{ki},$$

$$n_k \asymp 2^{dk}, \quad \tilde{h}_{ki} \in \widetilde{W}_{p^*}^s(Q)^*,$$

$$\tilde{g}_{ki} \in L_{p^*}(Q) \quad \text{almost disjoint supports}$$

$$\|J_0^* - P_k^* : L_p(Q) \rightarrow W_p^{-s}(Q)\| \leq c2^{-sk}$$

$$P_k^* f = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (f, \tilde{g}_{ki}) \tilde{h}_{ki},$$

idea: use simultaneous Monte Carlo integration for the approximation of the weighted integrals

$$(f, \tilde{g}_{ki}) = \int_Q f(x) \tilde{g}_{ki}(x) dx$$

Does not give the optimal rate!

Multilevel splitting:

$$P_k = \sum_{l=0}^k (P_l - P_{l-1}), \quad P_{-1} = 0$$

$$\|P_l - P_{l-1} : \widetilde{W}_{p^*}^s(Q) \rightarrow L_{p^*}(Q)\| \leq c2^{-sl}$$

$$(P_l - P_{l-1})h = \sum_{i=1}^{n_l} (h, h_{li})g_{li}, \quad h_{li} \in \widetilde{W}_{p^*}^s(Q)^*,$$

\Rightarrow approximate

$$(P_l^* - P_{l-1}^*)f = \sum_{i=1}^{n_l} (f, g_{li})h_{li},$$

Assumptions: For $u = p^*, \infty$

$$c_1 n_l^{-1/u} \|(\lambda_i)\|_{\ell_u^{n_l}} \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n_l} \lambda_i g_{li} \right\|_{L_u(Q)} \leq c_2 n_l^{-1/u} \|(\lambda_i)\|_{\ell_u^{n_l}}$$

$$\text{supp } g_{li} \subseteq Q_{li}, \quad |Q_{li}| \leq c_3 n_l^{-1},$$

$$\max_{x \in Q} |\{i : x \in Q_{li}\}| \leq c_4 \quad (Q_{li} \text{ almost disjoint}).$$

ξ_{li} uniformly distributed on Q_{li}

$$\gamma_{li}(f) := |Q_{li}|g_{li}(\xi_{li})f(\xi_{li})$$

$$\mathbb{E} \gamma_{li}(f) = \int_{Q_{li}} g_{li}(x)f(x)dx = (g_{li}, f)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (P_l^* - P_{l-1}^*)f &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_l} (f, g_{li})h_{li} \\ &\approx A_{l, N_l}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_l} \left(\frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} \gamma_{lij}(f) \right) h_{li} \end{aligned}$$

$\gamma_{lij}(f)$ independent copies of $\gamma_{li}(f)$.

Proposition 1. *Let $\bar{p} = \min(2, p)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\mathbb{E} \|f - A_{l, N_l}(f)\|_{W_p^{-s}}^{\bar{p}} \right)^{1/\bar{p}} \\ &\leq c 2^{-sl} N_l^{-1+1/\bar{p}} \|f\|_{L_p(Q)}. \end{aligned}$$

Final approximations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2 f &\approx A_k f \\
 &= \sum_{l=0}^k \sum_{i=1}^{n_l} \left(\frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} |Q_{li}| g_{li}(\xi_{lij}) f(\xi_{lij}) \right) h_{li}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Total error} \leq c \sum_{l=0}^k 2^{-sl} N_l^{-1+1/\bar{p}}$$

$$\text{cost} \leq c \sum_{l=0}^k 2^{dl} N_l$$

$$Jf \approx U_k f + A_k(f - U_k f),$$

where

$$(\mathbb{E}) \|f - U_k f\|_{L_p(Q)} \leq c 2^{-rk} \|f\|_{W_p^r(Q)}$$

5. Sobolev spaces of functions with dominating mixed derivatives

$$Q = [0, 1]^d, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty,$$

$$\bar{1} = \underbrace{(1, 1, \dots, 1)}_{d \text{ times}}$$

$$W_p^{\bar{1}}(Q) = \{f \in L_p(Q) : D^{\bar{1}}f \in L_p(Q)\}$$

$$\widehat{W}_p^{\bar{1}}(Q) =$$

$$\{f \in W_p^{\bar{1}}(Q) : f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = 0 \text{ if } \exists i : x_i = 0\}$$

norm

$$\|f\|_{\widehat{W}_p^{\bar{1}}(Q)} = \|D^{\bar{1}}f\|_{L_p(Q)}$$

Negative smoothness: We define

$$\check{W}_p^{-\bar{1}}(Q) = \widehat{W}_{p^*}^{\bar{1}}(Q)^*$$

Problem: For $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ approximate

$$J : L_p(Q) \rightarrow \check{W}_q^{-\bar{1}}(Q)$$

Motivation 1: Indefinite integration

$$S : L_p(Q) \rightarrow L_q(Q) \quad Q = [0, 1]^d$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned}(Sf)(x) &= \int_{[0,x]} f(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{x_1} \cdots \int_0^{x_d} f(t_1, \dots, t_d) dt,\end{aligned}$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in Q$,

$$[0, x] = [0, x_1] \times \dots \times [0, x_d]$$

equivalence to approximation:

$$S : L_{q^*}(Q) \rightarrow \widehat{W}_{q^*}^{\bar{1}}(Q)$$

is an isometric isomorphism, hence

$$\begin{aligned}S^* &: \check{W}_q^{-\bar{1}}(Q) \rightarrow L_q(Q) \\ (S^*)^{-1} &: L_q(Q) \rightarrow \check{W}_q^{-\bar{1}}(Q)\end{aligned}$$

are isometric isomorphisms, as well.

$$J : L_p(Q) \xrightarrow{S^*} L_q(Q) \xrightarrow{(S^*)^{-1}} \check{W}_q^{-\bar{1}}(Q)$$

Corollary 3.

$$\begin{aligned} e_n^{\text{ran}}(J, \mathcal{B}_{L_p(Q)}, \check{W}_q^{-\bar{1}}(Q)) \\ &= e_n^{\text{ran}}(S^*, \mathcal{B}_{L_p(Q)}, L_q(Q)) \\ &= e_n^{\text{ran}}(S, \mathcal{B}_{L_p(Q)}, L_q(Q)) \end{aligned}$$

and analogously for e_n^{det} .

(one gets S^* from S by change of variables)

Motivation 2: Simultaneous quadrature over classes of weights

Compute

$$\int_Q f(x)g(x)dx$$

for $f \in L_p(Q)$, $g \in \hat{W}_p^{\bar{1}}(Q)$

From now on we consider indefinite integration

$$S : L_p(Q) \rightarrow L_q(Q)$$

Theorem 4. (H., Milla, 2010)

Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $\bar{p} = \min(p, 2)$. Then

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(S, \mathcal{B}_{L_p(Q)}, L_q(Q)) \asymp n^{-1+1/\bar{p}}.$$

Two algorithms:

- Smolyak-Monte Carlo algorithm

$$\begin{aligned} Sf &\approx P_L^{(d)} Sf = \sum_{l=0}^L (P_l - P_{l-1}) \otimes P_{L-l}^{(d-1)} Sf \\ &= \sum_{l_1+l_2+\dots+l_d=L} (P_{l_1} - P_{l_1-1}) \otimes \dots \\ &\quad \dots \otimes (P_{l_{d-1}} - P_{l_{d-1}-1}) \otimes P_{l_d} Sf \end{aligned}$$

Then apply grid-stratified sampling to obtain an approximation to the needed values $(Sf)(x_i)$

norm estimates use Banach space tensor products (related to p -nuclear operators)

- Simple sampling algorithm:

$(\xi_i)_{i=1}^n$ independent, uniformly distributed
on $Q = [0, 1]^d$

$$\begin{aligned}(Sf)(x) &= \int \chi_{[0,x]} f(x) dx \\ &\approx (A_n f)(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{[0,x]}(\xi_i) f(\xi_i) \\ &\hspace{15em} (x \in Q)\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$Sf \approx A_n f = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(\xi_i) \chi_{[\xi_i, \bar{1}]}$$

6. Estimates with polynomial dependence on d (tractability)

From now on we consider $q = \infty$. In this case the problem is normalized:

$$\|S : L_p(Q) \rightarrow L_\infty(Q)\| = 1.$$

Theorem 5. (*H., Milla, 2010*) *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and $\bar{p} = \min(p, 2)$. Then there is a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in L_p(Q)$, the simple sampling algorithm A_n satisfies*

$$\mathbb{E} \|Sf - A_n f\|_{L_\infty(Q)} \leq cd^{1-1/\bar{p}} n^{-1+1/\bar{p}} \|f\|_{L_p(Q)}.$$

Idea of proof: $m \in \mathbb{N}$, Γ_m equidistant grid on $Q = [0, 1]^d$ with mesh-size $1/m$.

Σ_m the σ -algebra generated by $\{[0, x] : x \in \Gamma_m\}$

$M(Q, \Sigma_m)$ Banach space of signed measures on Σ_m , with the total variation norm.

Define

$$\eta_i(B) = \int_B f(t)dt - \chi_B(\xi_i)f(\xi_i) \quad (B \in \Sigma_m)$$

– independent $M(Q, \Sigma_m)$ -valued random variables of zero mean.

The operator

$$\begin{aligned} J_m & : M(Q, \Sigma_m) \rightarrow \ell_\infty(\Gamma_m), \\ J_m \mu & = (\mu([0, x]))_{x \in \Gamma_m} \end{aligned}$$

has type \bar{p} constant $\tau_{\bar{p}}(J_m)$

$$\tau_{\bar{p}}(J_m) \leq cd^{1-1/\bar{p}}$$

(Pisier, 1984)

(this uses the fact that the Vapnik-Červonenkis dimension of the family of sets $\{[0, x] : x \in [0, 1]^d\}$ is d)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \sup_{x \in \Gamma_m} \left| \int_{[0,x]} f(t) dt - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{[0,x]}(\xi_i) f(\xi_i) \right| \\
& \leq n^{-1} \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n J_m \eta_i \right\|_{\ell_\infty(\Gamma_m)}^{\bar{p}} \right)^{1/\bar{p}} \\
& \leq cd^{1-1/\bar{p}} n^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \|\eta_i\|_{M(Q, \Sigma_m)}^{\bar{p}} \right)^{1/\bar{p}} \\
& \leq cd^{1-1/\bar{p}} n^{-1+1/\bar{p}} \|f\|_{L_p(Q)}.
\end{aligned}$$

$m \rightarrow \infty$, density argument

7. A d -dependent lower bound

Using an argument by A. Hinrichs, we obtain a d -dependent (not sharp in n) lower bound:

Theorem 6. (*H., Milla, 2010*) *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(S, \mathcal{B}_{L_p}([0,1]^d), L_\infty([0,1]^d)) \geq \min(d/n, 1).$$

Corollary 4. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\bar{p} = \min(2, p)$. There are constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that for all $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 \min(d/n, 1) &\leq e_n^{\text{ran}}(S, \mathcal{B}_{L_p}([0,1]^d), L_\infty([0,1]^d)) \\ &\leq c_2 d^{1-1/\bar{p}} n^{-(1-1/\bar{p})}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$ the information complexity is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} n_\varepsilon^{\text{ran}}(S, \mathcal{B}_{L_p(Q)}, L_\infty(Q)) \\ = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : e_n^{\text{ran}}(S, \mathcal{B}_{L_p(Q)}, L_\infty(Q)) \leq \varepsilon\} \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5. *Let $1 < p \leq \infty$ and $\bar{p} = \min(2, p)$. There are constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$*

$$c_1 d / \varepsilon \leq n_\varepsilon^{\text{ran}}(S, \mathcal{B}_{L_p(Q)}, L_\infty(Q)) \leq c_2 d / \varepsilon^{\bar{p}/(\bar{p}-1)}.$$

8. Estimates of discrepancy with polynomial dependence on d (tractability of discrepancy)

From Theorem 5: Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in L_p(Q)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \|Sf - A_n f\|_{L_\infty(Q)} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \sup_{x \in [0,1]^d} \left| \int_{[0,x]} f(t) dt - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(\xi_i) \chi_{[0,x]}(\xi_i) \right| \\ &\leq cd^{1-1/\bar{p}} n^{-1+1/\bar{p}} \|f\|_{L_p(Q)}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 6. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\bar{p} = \min(2, p)$. Then there is a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $f \in L_p([0, 1]^d)$ there exist $t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, 1]^d$ with*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in [0,1]^d} \left| \int_{[0,x]} f(t) dt - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i) \chi_{[0,x]}(t_i) \right| \\ &\leq cd^{1-1/\bar{p}} n^{-1+1/\bar{p}} \|f\|_{L_p(Q)}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $p = \infty$ and $f \equiv 1$, we recover the main result of

S. Heinrich, E. Novak, G. W. Wasilkowski, H. Woźniakowski, The inverse of the star-discrepancy depends linearly on the dimension, Acta Arithmetica 96 (2001), 279-302

Corollary 7. *There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, 1]^d$ with*

$$\underbrace{\sup_{x \in [0, 1]^d} \left| |[0, x]| - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{[0, x]}(t_i) \right|}_{d_{\infty}^*(t_1, \dots, t_n)} \leq cd^{1/2}n^{-1/2}.$$

Defining for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and any $f \in L_p([0, 1]^d)$ a generalization of the star-discrepancy as follows

$$d_{f,\infty}^*(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \sup_{x \in [0,1]^d} \left| \int_{[0,x]} f(t) dt - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i) \chi_{[0,x]}(t_i) \right|,$$

Corollary 6 reads as follows

Corollary 8. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\bar{p} = \min(2, p)$. Then there is a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $f \in L_p([0, 1]^d)$ there exist $t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, 1]^d$ with*

$$d_{f,\infty}^*(t_1, \dots, t_n) \leq cd^{1-1/\bar{p}}n^{-1+1/\bar{p}}\|f\|_{L_p(Q)}.$$

References

For the results of Sections 2–4 see

S. Heinrich, Randomized approximation of Sobolev embeddings II, *J. Complexity* 25 (2009), 455–472,

S. Heinrich, Randomized approximation of Sobolev embeddings III, *J. Complexity* 25 (2009), 473–507,

and the references therein. For the results of Sections 5–8 see

S. Heinrich, B. Milla, The randomized complexity of indefinite integration, *J. Complexity* (accepted)

and the references therein. Preprints of these papers can also be found on

<http://www.uni-kl.de/AG-Heinrich/Publications.html>